

***POLICY OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE  
Supplementary Agenda***

Date Tuesday 7<sup>th</sup> February 2023

Time 6.00 pm

Venue Crompton Suite, Civic Centre, West Street, Oldham, OL1 1NL

Notes 1. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST- If a Member requires advice on any item involving a possible declaration of interest which could affect his/her ability to speak and/or vote he/she is advised to contact Paul Entwistle or Constitutional Services at least 24 hours in advance of the meeting.

2. CONTACT OFFICER for this agenda is Constitutional Services Tel. 0161 770 5151 or email [constitutional.services@oldham.gov.uk](mailto:constitutional.services@oldham.gov.uk)

3. FILMING - The Council, members of the public and the press may record / film / photograph or broadcast this meeting when the public and the press are not lawfully excluded. Any member of the public who attends a meeting and objects to being filmed should advise the Constitutional Services Officer who will instruct that they are not included in the filming.

Please note that anyone using recording equipment both audio and visual will not be permitted to leave the equipment in the room where a private meeting is held.

Recording and reporting the Council's meetings is subject to the law including the law of defamation, the Human Rights Act, the Data Protection Act and the law on public order offences.

Please also note the Public attendance Protocol on the Council's Website

[https://www.oldham.gov.uk/homepage/1449/attending\\_council\\_meetings](https://www.oldham.gov.uk/homepage/1449/attending_council_meetings)

**MEMBERSHIP OF THE POLICY OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY  
COMMITTEE**

Councillors Alyas, Barnes, Dean, Harrison, McLaren (Chair), C. Phythian, Wilkinson and Williamson

Item No

5 Liberal Democrats Budget Amendment Proposals 2023/24 (Pages 1 - 50)

Alternative budget proposals, presented on behalf of the Liberal Democrat group



**Oldham**  
Council

- 6            Conservative Budget Amendment Proposals 2023/24 (Pages 51 - 74)  
Alternative budget proposals, presented on behalf of the Conservative group



## Report to the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee

# Liberal Democrats Budget Amendment Proposals 2023/24

**Portfolio Holder:** Deputy Leader of the Liberal Democrats and Shadow Cabinet Member for Finance and Low Carbon, Liberal Democrat Group, Councillor Chris Gloster

**Officer Contact:** Andy Cooper, Senior Finance Manager

**07 February 2023**

---

### Reason for Decision

The report presents to the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee (POSC) the Liberal Democrats suggested amendments to the Administration's Budget proposals for 2023/24 and offers some forward-looking proposals to achieve savings in future years.

### Executive Summary

The report presents to the Committee a number of 2023/24 budget amendments in addition to those proposals already presented by the Administration to this Select Committee at its meeting on 26 January 2023.

This report identifies additional savings totalling £0.921m in 2023/24, £0.942m in 2024/25 and £0.278m in 2025/26 which can be considered individually or collectively. There are also initial investments that will be funded from the range of savings proposals put forward to offset the increased expenditure and suggested amendments to the Capital Programme. Details of the proposals can be found in section 3 and in summary at Appendix A. Business Cases for the individual budget reductions can be found at Appendix B.

### Recommendations

That the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee considers and recommends that:

1. The Investment and Savings proposals for 2023/24 as summarised at Appendix A are commended to Cabinet.
2. The amendments to the Administration's proposed Capital Programme are implemented as set out in this report.

**Liberal Democrats Budget Amendment Proposals 2023/24**

**1. Background**

- 1.1 The Council is required by legislation to produce a balanced budget each financial year. Aside from the legal requirements, financial plans are important because they set out the financial management of the Council's policies and guide officers on the areas where they should prioritise resources.
- 1.2 In the current economic environment, it is becoming more difficult to deliver a balanced budget with the Chief Executive describing setting the budget for 2023/24 as the most challenging budget round the Council has faced for a significant number of years. There are a number of Local Authorities in discussion with and/ or have Inspectors appointed by the Department of Levelling Up, Housing and Communities with regard to their long-term financial sustainability. During the 2022/23 financial year both Thurrock and Croydon Councils have issued Section 114 notices highlighting extreme financial pressures. Alongside Slough Borough Council who issued a Section 114 Notice in a previous financial year, the financial position of these three Authorities is likely to be very challenging for the foreseeable future.
- 1.3 The Liberal Democrats produce budget amendments to challenge key decisions set out by the Administration, identify other areas for revenue savings and propose alternative priority areas for the Council to concentrate its resources. There is also a review of the Administration's Capital Programme with the Liberal Democrats proposing alternative schemes to deliver their priorities.
- 1.4 In producing these budget amendments, the Liberal Democrats offer common-sense practical solutions to the borough's problems and challenge the Administration to cut waste and provide the value-for-money local services the public want. Throughout this document there is a focus on making the borough safer, greener and cleaner whilst providing support for the most vulnerable members of our community during this cost of living crisis.
- 1.5 In preparing the Opposition Budget, the Liberal Democrats would like the Committee, once again, to note the significantly reduced timescales afforded to the Party to construct a meaningful and robust proposal with the right amount of due diligence applied.

**2. Current Position**

- 2.1 The context of the whole budget setting process has once again been influenced by the legacy of COVID-19. The sustained impact of the pandemic together with the removal of on-going general grant support for COVID-19 by Central Government is having a profound and far-reaching impact on the Council in terms of service delivery.
- 2.2 Macro and micro economic factors together with global events have also contributed to the challenge of setting a balanced budget, these include:
  - Inflation; the Consumer Prices Index (CPI) for December 2022 was 10.5%, almost double the 5.4% recorded at the same point 12 months earlier
  - Increases in interest rates
  - The impact of Brexit - affecting trade arrangements with countries which remain within the European Union
  - The war between Russia and Ukraine
  - Central Government policy changes

- 
- 2.3 Considering these factors and existing budget constraints, the Administration has presented a series of savings proposals as part of the 2023/24 budget setting process. The Liberal Democrats recognise that, especially for the forthcoming budget, difficult choices need to be made to ensure a balanced budget can be presented to Council, and therefore accept the majority of the proposals for 2023/24. In doing so the Liberal Democrats recognise the future savings required to reduce the continued reliance on reserves as set out in the Medium-Term Financial Strategy are a challenge. Each year the Council continues to utilise reserves to balance the budget which has a negative effect for future years however we are assured at this stage that the Council has appropriate plans in place to improve its long-term financial resilience reducing its reliance on one off resources.
- 2.4 The proposal by the Administration to increase Oldham Council's element of Council Tax by 1.99% for 2023/24 as well as a 2% increase for the Adult Social Care Precept; 3.99% in total, which is 1% less than the permitted maximum expected by Central Government is accepted by the Liberal Democrats. Whilst acknowledging that a 3.99% Council Tax increase will be challenging for the Residents of the Borough in the middle of a cost of living crisis, it is important to note that the spending power of the Council in terms of Central Government support for Local Authorities is predicated on Councils levying the maximum permissible increase of 4.99%. In this way an increase that is anything lower than the currently proposed 3.99% will seriously restrict the Council in its ability to support and provide the necessary services to the Residents of the Borough, in particular the provision of non-statutory services that provide direct support to the most vulnerable citizens; potentially including but not limited to cost of living initiatives, the provision of welfare rights, support and inclusion services as well as community and outreach services.
- 2.5 Despite the position we find ourselves in we must continue to strive for improvements in the borough. More than ever the decisions we make now will have a direct impact on future generations, therefore collectively, we want to ensure that the correct priorities are at the forefront of decision-making processes. As such the budget amendments included in this report can be categorised into broad themes based on Liberal Democrat priorities.
- 2.6 The Liberal Democrats recognise that these priorities will not be achieved in the short term, therefore there is a real focus on including both revenue and capital investment proposals that tackle the issues within these budget amendment proposals. The proposals we have identified are also set against a background of ensuring the best interests of the Borough are supported through every day decision making at the Council, for example the Liberal Democrats believe that the Council should use its spending power to support the local economy by, where possible, spending locally.

### **3. 2023/24 Proposals**

- 3.1 The Liberal Democrats propose a range of specific budget amendments to be considered this year. These are split into:
- An alternative Capital Programme 2023/24 to 2027/28
  - Investment proposals which would achieve significant benefits within the borough
  - Budget Reduction proposals where it is considered individual service areas can make new or additional savings in order to fund the investment proposals put forward in this report.
- 3.2 If the savings proposals are acceptable to the Administration, then, if taken in isolation, they have the option to reduce the level of reserves required to support the budget, thereby improving the financial resilience of the Council.

---

## **Liberal Democrat Alternative Capital Programme 2023/24 to 2027/28**

- 3.3 The Liberal Democrats are proposing a series of adjustments to the Council's Capital Programme in order to achieve the goals of tackling social deprivation for residents, improving safety, regenerating the borough and supporting vulnerable children. Further details of these proposals are included below with a summary shown in Table 1.

### **A - Invest in the Transport Infrastructure of the Borough - Footways, Roads, Local Traffic Safety Schemes and Disabled Parking**

- 3.4 The investment builds on what has been a been a 'cornerstone' Liberal Democrat priority over a number of years. Proposed expenditure of £2.000m; £1.000m in each of 2023/24 and 2024/25 will be targeted at a number of transport and pedestrian related initiatives which will contribute to the regeneration of the Borough and allow us to build back better in a post COVID-19 environment. A detailed programme will be prepared covering the four areas as follows:

#### Footways

- 3.5 An allocation of resources to ensure footpaths are well maintained and safe for pedestrians.

#### Local Traffic Safety Schemes

- 3.6 Funding for improvements in measures aimed at slowing traffic down on local highways which will keep the most vulnerable members of our society safe whilst they are out and about. The proposal also links with the "20's Plenty" revenue investment proposal detailed at paragraphs 3.16 to 3.18.

#### Roads

- 3.7 A programme of expenditure for the road network in the borough, improvements to the general condition and also targeted investment to make travelling throughout Oldham safer for all road users and pedestrians.

#### Disabled Parking

- 3.8 Investment to provide designated Disabled Parking spaces on the Boroughs highways, in the first instance, to address the current backlog and then fund a planned programme to improve the level of provision.

### **B - Create a specific investment fund for the Borough's Public Rights of Way**

- 3.9 The proposal is to create a specific fund to remediate and invest in the public rights of way throughout the Borough: £0.200m in total allocated evenly between 2023/24 and 2024/25. Particularly as a result of the pandemic, public rights of way have seen markedly increased footfall and their general condition has suffered as a consequence. The investment would make significant improvements and enhancements in what are now widely used and valuable assets, give local people a sense of pride in their surroundings whilst complementing the GM Cycling and Walking Bee Networks initiative.

### **C - Create a specific investment fund to develop and improve Recreational and Green Spaces**

- 3.10 It is proposed that capital resources of £0.850m are invested in projects to promote recreation and enhance green spaces in 2023/24.

## D – Increase internal placement sufficiency within Children’s Social Care

- 3.11 To build on the current Children’s Social Care Investment Programme by creating more internal residential placement capacity placement. The proposal is to establish a second, four bed, Council owned and managed residential home to provide residential care for Children Looked After (CLA) who would otherwise be placed in an expensive external placement, most likely outside of the Borough. The capital investment required is £0.500m to enable the purchase of a suitable property and make the necessary adaptations. The revenue consequences in terms of cost and cost reduction are dealt with in Sections 3.25 and 4.9

### Summary Capital Investment Proposals

- 3.12 The additional capital investment required to deliver the revised programme would total £2.450m. The Liberal Democrats, based on the Fund for Emerging Priorities reported to POSC on 26 January, are proposing to fully reallocate the 2023/24 resource of £1.492m in the Administration’s Capital Strategy. The proposed programme therefore requires additional Prudential Borrowing of £0.958m in 2023/24. The expenditure proposals total £1.100m in 2024/25 and are fully funded from the Emerging Priorities allocation of £15.624m. The table below summarises the Liberal Democrats Alternative Capital Programme.

**Table 1 - Liberal Democrats Alternative Capital Programme 2023/24 to 2027/28**

Capital Proposals	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000	2025/26 £000	2026/27 £000	2027/28 £000	Total £000
<b>Funding</b>						
Fund for Emerging Priorities	1,492	15,624	13,836	4,885	0	<b>35,837</b>
Prudential Borrowing	958					<b>958</b>
<b>Total Funding</b>	<b>2,450</b>	<b>15,624</b>	<b>13,836</b>	<b>4,885</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>36,795</b>
<b>Proposals</b>						
A. Footways, Roads, Local Traffic Safety Schemes, Disabled Parking	1,000	1,000				<b>2,000</b>
B. Public Rights of Way	100	100				<b>200</b>
C. Recreational and Green Spaces	850					<b>850</b>
D. Placement Sufficiency-Children’s Social Care	500					<b>500</b>
<b>Total Proposed Capital Expenditure</b>	<b>2,450</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,550</b>
<b>Balance of Fund for Emerging Priorities</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>14,524</b>	<b>13,836</b>	<b>4,885</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>33,245</b>

### The Liberal Democrat Alternative Revenue Budget 2023/24 to 2025/26

#### Investment Proposals

- 3.13 The Liberal Democrats are proposing a range of additional budget reduction proposals for 2023/24 which will make £0.921m available for investment with a further £0.942m in 2024/25, and £0.278m in 2025/26 as detailed in Section 4. The resource will be used to invest in a range of proposals aimed at improving the lives of residents within the borough. Details of the individual investment proposals are provided below.

#### Reinstate Parish Council Grant funding (£0.030m)

- 3.14 The Liberal Democrats propose to reinstate a prior reduction of Parish Council Grant funding for both Saddleworth and Shaw & Crompton Parish Councils at an initial value of £0.015m in 2023/24 with a further £0.015m in 2024/25. The Administration began the reduction in Parish

---

Council funding as part of the 2017/18 budget setting process and reduced the grant over a three-year period in line with the reductions in Revenue Support Grant imposed by Central Government.

3.15 The Liberal Democrats have always believed that Parish Councils are an essential part of the structure of local democracy and have a vital role in acting on behalf of the communities they represent and therefore propose the initial full reinstatement and a further increase to this funding to ensure activities such as the following can continue:

- undertaking projects and schemes that benefit local residents
- working in partnership with other bodies to achieve benefits for the parish
- alerting relevant authorities to problems that arise or work that needs to be undertaken.
- maintaining community buildings and land
- giving views, on behalf of the community, on planning applications and other proposals that affect the parish.

**“20’s Plenty” (£0.250m)**

3.16 The ‘20 is plenty’ scheme aims to provide a cleaner, healthier, fairer and greener way of life by implementing 20 mph zones across built up zones. This is considered such a prominent issue that for the second Decade of Action for Road Safety the United Nations has endorsed the setting of 20 mph maximum speed limits wherever pedestrians, cyclists or other vulnerable road users mix with motor vehicles.

3.17 There are multiple benefits to implementing 20 mph as the speed limit, this includes lower emissions and therefore better air quality. However, one of the most significant benefits is the associated decrease in accidents involving pedestrians and vehicles. There are approximately 20% fewer injuries in areas with 20 mph areas and the chance of a fatality is 7 times less likely when travelling at 20 mph compared to 30 mph.

3.18 In order to promote these benefits within the borough the Liberal Democrats propose to create a reserve fund to increase signage, education and implement light-touch policing around the introduction of 20 mph zones for traffic, especially in front of Schools and other high-risk areas.

**Free Bulky Waste Collection (£0.200m)**

3.19 The Liberal Democrats consider it important to offer the most vulnerable, infirm and those without access to their own transport, one free collection of waste per annum. Based on the proposed 2023/24 standard charge of £22.00 to collect 3 bulky items the amount proposed would fund 174 collections per week, approximately 35 collections per day. The investment complements the initiatives aimed at tackling environmental crime and complements the Don’t Trash Oldham campaign, which is expected to cease in its current format on 30 September 2023.

**Youth Services (£0.050m)**

3.20 Investment in youth services is a Liberal Democrat priority. The proposal is to increase the revenue budget for the Youth Service by £0.050m. This will be used for enhanced delivery of the District and Detached youth work offer including venue costs, activity costs and resources to support the increased youth work provision in each district of the borough and provide a quality youth work offer to young people that supports and promotes positive emotional health and wellbeing.



---

### **Investment in Crime and Safety (£0.200m)**

- 3.21 An investment of £0.200m is proposed to support measures aimed at reducing crime and improving safety for the residents of the Borough.

### **Whit Friday Band Contest (£0.011m)**

- 3.22 A sum of £0.011m to support and facilitate the running of the annual Whit Friday band contest, one of the higher profile events within the Borough, attracting visitors and providing a major boost for local businesses.

### **Revenue Fund to support Recreational and Green Spaces (£0.050m)**

- 3.23 A revenue fund of £0.050m to support and supplement the capital expenditure proposals outlined in section 3.10.

### **Highways and Road Safety Schemes (£0.100m)**

- 3.24 A revenue fund of £0.100m to support and supplement the capital expenditure proposals outlined in section 3.4, to support the transport infrastructure of the Borough.

### **Children's Social Care- Residential Home (£0.901m)**

- 3.25 Children's Social Care has for the past two years in particular experienced significant financial pressure, in part but not wholly due to the increased demand for services which rose significantly in the first winter of the pandemic in 2020 and continued to rise incrementally thereafter. One of the key manifestations of this demand has been the number of children placed in external residential care, often outside of the borough. The capital proposal is therefore to establish a second, Council owned and operated residential children's home, creating an additional 4 places on top of the 4 that will be provided through the Children's Investment Programme approved by Cabinet on 12 December 2022. It is intended that the facility will be operational from the 1 April 2024 with annual running costs of £0.901m. The majority of this cost is the cohort of staff required to run and manage the establishment together with other operational running costs including living expenses for the occupants plus maintenance of the property.

### **Transfer to/ from Reserves (net nil)**

- 3.26 In order to present a fully financed and balanced revenue budget it is proposed that the balance of revenue funding identified through the alternative budget reduction proposals which has not been allocated to investment proposals in 2023/24; a sum of £0.045m, is transferred to a dedicated reserve and then released in 2024/25 to fully fund the revenue investment proposals in that financial year.

### **Revenue Costs of Prudential Borrowing (£0.067m)**

- 3.27 The total cost of the Capital proposals in 2023/24 is £2.450m, the primary source of funding is the Fund for Emerging Priorities (£1.492m). To avoid reductions to any of the schemes proposed by the Administration, the shortfall of £0.958m will be financed by Prudential Borrowing. The revenue costs associated with this borrowing are £0.067m and commence in 2024/25; the year following the one in which the borrowing was undertaken.

### **Revenue Fund for Emerging Priorities and Inflationary Pressures (£0.282m)**

- 3.28 There is a small amount of funding initially remaining unallocated in 2024/25 (£0.004m), with a further £0.278m in 2025/26. The amounts will be held to support emerging priorities and to

---

offset inflationary pressures in the event of a slow economic recovery from the current cost of living crisis.

#### **4. Liberal Democrat Alternative Budget Reduction Proposals**

- 4.1 The Liberal Democrats are proposing a range of challenging budget reduction proposals, totalling £2.141m over the three year period 2023/24 to 2025/26, which will reduce spend on non-essential or non-statutory services in order to reprioritise the funds into proposals which will improve the lives of people in the borough. Brief summaries of the savings proposals are provided below with full proformas at Appendix B.

##### **OPP-BR1-201 - Additional Vacancy Management factor to achieve greater efficiency including limiting the present use of agency staff and consultants (£0.570m)**

- 4.2 The Liberal Democrats are proposing to apply a vacancy factor to all mainstream employee budgets based on the assumption that some posts would become vacant or would be held vacant during that financial year due to staff turnover and to assist in reducing the number of agency staff and consultants used across the Council. Applying a vacancy management factor of 0.75% would generate a saving of £0.570m. There is some risk with this proposal as it comes on top of a previously approved vacancy factor which is embedded in the budget. It will therefore need to be carefully managed to ensure delivery.

##### **OPP-BR1-202 - Reduction in mileage budgets to reflect change in work practices (£0.050m)**

- 4.3 The Liberal Democrats propose to reduce mileage budgets by £0.050m on a pro rata basis across the Council, excluding Adult Social Care and Children's Social Care cost centres. The Liberal Democrats propose that due to the increase in Council employees working from home and the increase of Teams based meetings, the requirement to travel using a personal vehicle has reduced, which in turn should result in a saving for the Council.

##### **OPP-BR1-203 - Review of car allowances, as previously promised to reduce the amount paid as a lump sum to staff doing zero or minimal mileage (£0.050m)**

- 4.4 A lump sum car allowance of £500 is paid annually to essential car users. The Council will pay car allowances totalling £0.321m in 2022/23, with 641 staff members in posts which attract car allowances in the period April – December 2022 (701 for the equivalent period in 2021).
- 4.5 Analysis of the data shows that in the period April 2022 to December 2022, 302 (47%) of those individuals in roles with the Essential Car Allowance have recorded/claimed zero miles (380= 54% to December 2021). For the same period 38 (6%) recorded/claimed for 1 to 100 miles (62= 9% to December 2021) and 301 (47%) recorded/claimed for 101 and above miles (259= 37% to December 2021)). It should be noted that anecdotal evidence suggests that officers do not always claim mileage travelled, particularly where the value is low.
- 4.6 The Liberal Democrats believe, and the analysis above supports the view that a review of the posts which attract essential car user status should be undertaken with the intention of removing the lump sum payment from posts where users routinely record zero, or very few miles. Removal of the allowance from 100 employees would result in a £0.050m saving. Due to the consultation requirements only a part year saving of £0.013m would be generated in 2023/24 with full year effect being applied in 2024/25.

---

#### **OPP-BR1-204 - Reduction in the General Training Budget (£0.080m)**

- 4.7 The Liberal Democrats were pleased to note the Administration's willingness to finally adopt the proposal included in previous opposition budgets and made a budget reduction of £0.050m in the general training budget for 2022/23. The Liberal Democrats are mindful of a wider 2023/24 budget reduction; COR-BR1-620, which proposes a restructure to the Human Resources and Organisational Development Portfolio. However, it is proposed that a budget reduction of £0.080m is implemented in 2023/24 specifically in relation to the General Training Budget in the belief that there is scope for further reductions in this area.

#### **OPP-BR1-205 - Reconsideration of Council priorities with regards to the Communications and Research Service (£0.278m)**

- 4.8 As in the previous year, and with no action from the Administration, the Liberal Democrats still feel that there is further scope in this area to reduce spend on what is a non statutory service and to re-prioritise this to other areas. As such, the Liberal Democrats propose to redesign the Communications and Research team generating a total budget reduction of £0.300m. This will enable the reprioritisation of funding towards front line services. This reduction would be generated by halting production of the publication Oldham Council: Working for You and by disestablishing 5.4 posts which may include redundancies, however, due to staff consultation timelines only a part year effect would be achieved in 2023/24. This would generate a saving of £0.295m. It is also proposed to generate revenue of circa £0.005m by optimising income generation opportunities across the Council's media channels. The Communications and Research Service has put forward a budget reduction of £0.022m for 2023/24 (with a further £0.022m in 2024/25), achieved by a review of the Research and Engagement function, for this reason the proposed reduction of £0.300m is reduced accordingly to £0.278m of which £0.208m will be achieved in 2023/24 with an additional £0.070m in 2024/25.

#### **OPP-BR1-206 – External Placement Cost Avoidance within Children's Social Care (£1.113m)**

- 4.9 The plan to open a second residential home for Children Looked After will enable four children currently placed in external residential care to be brought back into the borough and cared for in a Council run facility. The average cost of an external placement is currently £5,075 per week and there are 18 placements with weekly costs in excess of the average where the current highest weekly cost for a placement is £9,373. Targeting those higher cost placements will enable 4 packages of care at an average weekly cost of £5,350 to be recommissioned with a potential annual saving of £1.113m. Work will need to begin to identify and smoothly transition the move in the latter part of 2023/24. Experience shows that full occupancy on 1 April 2024 is a challenging proposition. It is therefore assumed that all 4 beds will be utilised by the end of quarter 1 in the year of opening.

### **5. Items for Future Consideration**

#### **1) Hire out office space externally**

A survey by Deloitte found the majority of CEO's (97%) are planning to make the changes brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic permanent, including increased working from home. Although a combination of office and homeworking will be needed it is reasonable to assume there will be increased demand for occasional office space and meeting rooms where external organisations require space for one off larger meetings or team away days. Conversely, if the Council also take advantage of the increased capability for flexible working, such as working from home and virtual meetings, there will also be a decrease in demand internally for meeting rooms. Whilst acknowledging that the estate is being rationalised, the Council should look into the potential of hiring its meeting room space, including the modern

---

facilities in the newly established Spindles, longer term proposals for Henshaw House and to maximise opportunities at satellite buildings and Town Halls.

## **2) Local Spending**

The Liberal Democrats support a steady increase in the percentage of Council expenditure made with businesses based in our Borough and also an increased percentage of Council job vacancies made available to local residents. These aspirations also embrace spending and hiring by MioCare CIC and Oldham Community Leisure, and other partners, such as our two local colleges, the Oldham Integrated Care Board, the NHS Acute and Primary Care Trusts and social landlords.

We have called for an increase in Council local spending from the initial 52% reported in the 2019/20 financial year to at least 60% and for efforts to be made to encourage local residents to apply for Council jobs especially those at entry level. We are encouraged to note that the average for Local Spend was 55% in 2021/22, but mindful that the position reported at quarter 2 in 2022/23 was 49% against a target of 55%. In addition, we note that the Council is looking to increase this figure with the implementation of the Social Value Portal for contracts above £0.100m and a programme of engagement events with local suppliers within cohorts of spend, supporting them on how to submit tenders and giving them visibility of the future pipelines of tenders coming up within their specific industry. Clearly work needs to continue to meet the stated criteria. This combined approach should yield an increase in local spend to circa 60%.

By retaining Council Tax and other revenue within the Borough, through awarding contracts where-ever possible to local businesses and by employing local people, the Liberal Democrats believe the Council and its partners can establish a virtuous circle 'making every Oldham pound go further' as this money will in turn be spent in local shops and hospitality businesses. This helps create a local economy that will recover more quickly after the COVID-19 pandemic and is also more environmentally sustainable, as it reduces commuting and the transport miles associated with the supply of goods and provision of services by contractors outside of the borough.

In addition, to support local spending, the Liberal Democrats would welcome consideration of a "local impact" measure when considering budget cuts, this would assess whether a savings options would disproportionately impact Oldham suppliers or the local economy.

## **3) The Remediation of Brownfield Land**

The Liberal Democrats believe that the Administration should be prepared to repurpose a further significant sum from the capital programme to pay for the remediation of brownfield land sites for housing development, if either no money, or an insufficient sum, is made available to the authority from the Greater Manchester Brownfield Fund. The Flexible Housing Fund does have an allocation over the life of the current Capital Programme, however, notwithstanding the current commitments identified at this present time, it is felt that more can be done.

## **6. Review of previous Liberal Democrat Budgets**

- 6.1 At its meeting on 8 February 2022 the Performance, Overview and Scrutiny Committee, in considering the 2022/23 Liberal Democrat Budget Reduction proposals, referred the Liberal Democrat revenue and capital budget reduction and investment proposals to Cabinet for consideration, however without any available funding Cabinet was not in a position to give its support to the Alternative Investment proposals. The Committee once again shared the concerns in relation to the lack of review of mileage budgets.

---

6.2 It should however be noted that items which have previously been rejected or referred for consideration have subsequently been adopted or proposed in full or in part by the Administration, these include:

1. Income targets with regard to Section 38 and Section 278 inspections within the Highways service
2. Levels of expenditure within General Training budgets
3. A variable lighting strategy in relation to street lighting
4. Increasing the Council Tax Premium on Empty properties

A proposed/ pending review of reward and recognition packages, to include essential car user allowances has still not been brought forward.

In addition, some of the other previous recommendations have not been actioned, in part this delay may be due to COVID-19, for example the Government provided significant funding through the Holiday Activity and Food Programme in order to provide meals and activities for disadvantaged children during school holidays, therefore it was unnecessary to identify funding for such activities from existing budgets in 2020/21 through to 2022/23 and again in 2023/24.

6.3 One area which will again be highlighted separately, as detailed in paragraphs 4.4 to 4.6, is that, despite the reduction in the value of allowances being paid to date there has still been no wider review of reward and recognition packages. Service data still suggests that in this tough financial climate this is an area which need to be considered in order to protect front line services.

### **Summary**

6.4 After considering all investment and savings proposals there is a balanced position as can be seen in Appendix A.

## **7. Director of Finance Comments**

7.1 I confirm in my role as Responsible Officer under Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 that the budget amendments as presented are robust and deliverable.

7.2 As it is an alternative set of budget options the opportunity for testing the risks associated with the proposals are more limited and it is therefore necessary to afford a level of caution in presenting these alternatives. (Anne Ryans)

## **8. Options/Alternatives**

8.1 With regard to the consideration of the Liberal Democrat's alternative Capital Programme for 2023/24 to 2027/28, revenue investment proposals and revenue savings proposals for 2023/24 to 2025/26, the options available to the POSC are to:

- Accept and recommend to Cabinet all of the recommendations of the report
- Accept and recommend to Cabinet some of the recommendations of the report and reject others
- Reject all of the recommendations of the report

## **9. Preferred Option**

9.1 The preferred option is that the POSC accepts all of the recommendations.

---

## **10. Consultation**

- 10.1 Service Managers have been involved in compiling the proposals and the proposals have been agreed within the content of the business cases attached at Appendix B.

## **11. Financial Implications**

- 11.1 The financial implications are included within the report.

## **12. Legal Services Comments**

- 12.1 There are no immediate legal issues arising from the report save that where the proposals involve a change to officer's employment terms and conditions and/ or potential redundancies appropriate HR processes will need to be followed in accordance with the Council's policies and procedures.

## **13. Co-operative Agenda**

- 13.1 Revenue and Capital Investment and Savings proposals have been considered in conjunction with the Council's Co-operative Agenda and there are no adverse impacts.

## **14. Human Resources Comments**

- 14.1 The Opposition proposals are noted.
- 14.2 In response to the workforce specific proposals, it is the HR and OD Service's view that a reduction to the General Training Budget will impact the delivery of key organisational priorities such as transformation activity and integration across the wider public service system. The removal of car allowance, if treated as a standalone activity, is unlikely to align with work ongoing to determine future ways of working and this should therefore be incorporated into this wider workstream. Additional options with workforce implications will be assessed should they be approved and discharged in accordance with the organisation's policies and procedures.

## **15. Risk Assessments**

- 15.1 The risks of deliverability have been considered and as it is an alternative set of budget proposals the opportunity for testing the risks associated with the proposals are more limited and as advised above, it is therefore necessary to afford a level of caution in presenting these alternatives.

## **16. IT Implications**

- 16.1 There are no specific IT requirements associated with the proposals that have not already been considered.

## **17. Property Implications**

- 17.1 The Council's approach to its Medium - Term Property Strategy will involve the workstreams associated with e.g., regeneration, new investments and combating climate change and carbon neutral initiatives.

## **18. Procurement Implications**

- 18.1 Any proposals that impact on the procurement of goods, services etc. will be undertaken in full liaison with the Procurement Service and in compliance with all necessary Council and statutory requirements.

---

**19. Environmental and Health & Safety Implications**

19.1 There are no adverse environmental or Health and Safety implications associated with the proposals.

**20. Equality, community cohesion and crime implications**

20.1 There are no adverse equality, community cohesion or crime implications associated with the proposals.

**21. Equality Impact Assessment Completed**

21.1 These are not required at the present time for these proposals.

**22. Key Decision**

22.1 No.

**23. Key Decision Reference**

23.1 Not a Key Decision.

**24. Background Papers**

24.1 The following is a list of background papers on which this report is based in accordance with the requirements of Section 100(1) of the Local Government Act 1972. It does not include documents which would disclose exempt or confidential information as defined by the Act:

File Ref: Background papers are contained in Appendices A and B  
Officer Name: Andy Cooper (andy.cooper@oldham.gov.uk)

**25. Appendices**

25.1 Appendix A: Summary of Alternative Budget Proposals.  
Appendix B: Business Cases for Alternative Budget Reduction Proposals.

**Summary of Alternative Budget Proposals**

**APPENDIX A**

Proposal Reference	Proposal Name	Responsible Officer	Budget Proposal 2023/24 £000	FTE Impact 2023/24	Budget Proposal 2024/25 £000	Budget Proposal 2025/26 £000	Cumulative Budget Impact £000	EIA Required?
<b>Alternative Budget Investment Proposals</b>								
A	Specific fund to invest in Footways ,Roads, Local Safety Schemes and Disabled Parking across the Borough		1,000		1,000	0	2,000	No
B	Specific fund for improving Public Rights of Way throughout the borough		100		100	0	200	No
C	Specific fund for developing and improving Recreational and Green spaces throughout the borough		850		0	0	850	No
D	Increase internal placement sufficiency within Children's Social Care		500		0	0	500	No
<b>Total Cost of Alternative Capital Programme</b>			<b>2,450</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1,100</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>3,550</b>	
	Reinstate Parish Council Grant funding		15		15	0	30	No
	"20's Plenty"		250		0	0	250	No
	Free Bulky Waste Collection		200		0	0	200	No
	Youth Services		50		0	0	50	No
	Investment in Crime and Safety		200		0	0	200	No
	Whit Friday Band Contest		11		0	0	11	No
	Revenue Fund to support Recreational and Green Spaces		50		0	0	50	No
	Highways and Road Safety Schemes		100		0	0	100	No
	Children's Social Care - Residential Home		0		901	0	901	No
	Transfer to/ from Reserves		45		(45)	0	0	No
	Revenue costs of Prudential Borrowing		0		67	0	67	No
	Revenue Fund for Emerging priorities and Inflationary pressures		0		4	278	282	No
<b>Total Revenue Budget Investment Proposals</b>			<b>921</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>942</b>	<b>278</b>	<b>2,141</b>	
<b>Alternative Budget Reduction Proposals</b>								
OPP-BR1-201	Additional Vacancy Management factor to achieve greater efficiency including limiting the present use of agency staff and consultants	Vikki Morris	(570)		0	0	(570)	No
OPP-BR1-202	Reduction in mileage budgets to reflect change in work practices	Vikki Morris	(50)		0	0	(50)	No
OPP-BR1-203	Review of car allowances as previously promised to reduce the amount paid as a lump sum to staff doing zero or minimal mileage	Vikki Morris	(13)		(37)	0	(50)	No
OPP-BR1-204	Reduction in the General Training Budget	Vikki Morris	(80)		0	0	(80)	No
OPP-BR1-205	Reconsideration of Council priorities with regards to the Communications and Research Service	Jeni Harvey	(208)	(5.4)	(70)	0	(278)	No
OPP-BR1-206	External Placement Cost Avoidance	Julie Daniels	0		(835)	(278)	(1,113)	No
<b>Total Budget Reduction Suggestions</b>			<b>(921)</b>	<b>(5.4)</b>	<b>(942)</b>	<b>(278)</b>	<b>(2,141)</b>	No
<b>Surplus Budget/Total FTE Impact</b>			<b>0</b>	<b>(5.4)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	



# **Appendix B**

## **Business Cases for Alternative Budget Reduction Proposals**

# **Budget reductions**

## BR1 - Section A

Service Area:	Corporate Services
Budget Reduction Title:	Additional Vacancy Management factor to achieve greater efficiency including limiting the present use of agency staff and consultants

### Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:

In previous years the Administration agreed to apply a vacancy factor at a rate of 1.5% to all mainstream employee budgets based on the assumption that some posts would become vacant or would be held vacant during that financial year due to staff turnover. This option has not been implemented since 2021/22 and is not proposed for 2023/24.

To assist in reducing the number of agency staff and consultants used across the Council further, the Liberal Democrats propose that a saving for 2023/24 should be applied at a rate of 0.75% to all Council mainstream employee budgets, with the exception of Children's Social Care, which has a vacancy management factor built into the Investment Plan approved by Cabinet on 12 December 2022. The proposed 0.75% vacancy management factor would generate a saving of £0.570m in 2023/24.

2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment	£000
Employees	75,999
Other Operational Expenses	0
Income	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>75,999</b>

Current Forecast (under) / overspend	(12,770)
--------------------------------------	----------

Number of posts (Full time equivalent)	0
--	---

	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)	(570)	0	0
Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)	0	0	0

Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?	On-going
---	----------

## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
None.
<b>Service Delivery</b>
Service staffing budgets will have to be managed within available resources. There is no anticipated impact on service delivery but this will however need to be managed at a service level and mitigating actions may be required.
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
None.
<b>Organisation</b>
There is no anticipated impact on the organisation. Service staffing budgets have to will be managed within available resources.
<b>Workforce</b>
None.
<b>Communities / Service Users</b>
None.
<b>Oldham Cares</b>
There is no anticipated impact on Oldham Cares apart from Council staffing budgets within Adult Social Care carrying and managing the vacancy factor.
<b>Partner Organisations</b>
None.

Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Residents</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
N/A	
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
N/A	
<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
N/A	

**Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements**

Potential reduction in the number of interim and agency staff used across the authority which may reduce expenditure.

## Section C

### Key Risks and Mitigations

Risk	Mitigation
Service budgets will overspend in 2023/24 due to non-achievement of the vacancy management target.	There is an expectation that a percentage of posts will be vacant in year through natural turnover of staff, and that services through to directorates will manage recruitment and cover arrangements accordingly.
Individual budget areas with low staff turnover will fail to meet the vacancy target.	Information on the achievement of vacancy management targets will be made available at service and directorate level to allow a wider analysis of progress against targets and allow offsets between over and under achieving service / directorate areas.
N/A	N/A

### Key Development and Delivery Milestones

Milestone	Timeline
Vacancy management targets are calculated, and allocations communicated to service and budget managers.	February 2023
Vacancy management targets are reviewed in line with any organisational change prior to the commencement of the 2024/23 financial year.	February/March 2023
Vacancy management targets are applied to individual budgets prior to the commencement of the 2023/24 financial year.	March 2023
N/A	N/A

## Section D

<b>Consultation required?</b>	<b>No</b>
-------------------------------	-----------

	<b>Start</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>
<b>Staff</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Trade Union</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Public</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Service Users</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Other</b>	N/A	N/A

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

<b>Disabled people</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Particular Ethnic Groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are married or in a civil partnership</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People of particular sexual orientation</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People on low incomes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People in particular age groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Groups with particular faiths and beliefs</b>	<b>No</b>

<b>EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)</b>	<b>No</b>
---	-----------

## Section E

<b>Finance comments</b>
This proposal is to introduce a 0.75% Vacancy Factor across mainstream salary budgets within the organisation, excluding Children's Social Care. The application of any Vacancy Factor carries risks of non-delivery and service overspend as detailed in Section C of this pro-forma and the higher the applied Vacancy Factor, the higher the associated risk to financial and service performance. The delivery of this budget proposal would require careful monitoring and management across the organisation.

<b>Signed RO</b>	2/2/2023
------------------	----------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	2/2/2023
-----------------------	----------

**Additional information (if required)**

## BR1 - Section A

<b>Service Area:</b>	<b>Corporate Services</b>
<b>Budget Reduction Title:</b>	<b>Reduction in mileage budgets to reflect changes in work practices</b>

### Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:

The Liberal Democrats propose to reduce mileage budgets by £0.050m on a pro rata basis across the Council, excluding Adult Social Care and Children's Social Care cost centres.

Mileage can currently be claimed for work related travel except for travel from Oldham town centre to the following destinations (unless there are mitigating circumstances):

- Manchester City Centre
- Failsworth District Centre
- Royton District Centre
- Rochdale Town Centre
- Ashton Town Centre

Line managers must agree beforehand that using a personal vehicle is the most appropriate way to travel to a destination.

The Liberal Democrats propose that due to the increase in Council employees working from home and the increase of Teams based meetings, the requirement to travel on Council business using a personal vehicle has reduced.

<b>2023/24 Service Budget and Establishment</b>	<b>£000</b>
Employees	N/A
Other Operational Expenses	189
Income	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>189</b>

<b>Current Forecast (under) / overspend</b>	<b>(144)</b>
---	--------------

<b>Number of posts (Full time equivalent)</b>	<b>N/A</b>
---	------------

	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2026/27</b>
<b>Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)</b>	<b>(50)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?</b>	<b>On-going</b>
--	-----------------

## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
None.
<b>Service Delivery</b>
Council staff may have to consider alternate forms of transport if required to travel for work requirements.
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
Budgets would be amended to reflect the decreasing demand for mileage claims.
<b>Organisation</b>
None.
<b>Workforce</b>
There is the potential that the workforce would evaluate whether travel is necessary or whether meetings could take place via Microsoft Teams.
<b>Communities / Service Users</b>
None.
<b>Oldham Cares</b>
None.
<b>Partner Organisations</b>
None.

Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Residents</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>All Council departments</b>	
<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/A</b>	



**Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements**

Budgets would be reduced to reflect the decrease in mileage claims.

**Section C****Key Risks and Mitigations**

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Mitigation</b>
Staff continue to claim mileage for non-essential travel.	Adequate communication to all staff detailing the new policy along with regular budget monitoring to ensure any potential areas of overspend can be identified early and raised with budget holders.
Essential travel is restricted due to lack of travel budgets.	Budgets where essential travel is assumed to be a regular requirement are excluded from the budget reductions, for example Adults and Children's Social Care.
N/A	N/A

**Key Development and Delivery Milestones**

<b>Milestone</b>	<b>Timeline</b>
Proposal presented to the Policy Overview Scrutiny Committee.	7 February 2023
Review of Cost Centres which should be excluded from the budget reduction proposal.	February – March 2023
The budget reduction is implemented.	April 2023

## Section D

<b>Consultation required?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
-------------------------------	------------

	<b>Start</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>
<b>Staff</b>	April-23	June-23
<b>Trade Union</b>	April-23	June-23
<b>Public</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Service Users</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Other</b>	N/A	N/A

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

<b>Disabled people</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Particular Ethnic Groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are married or in a civil partnership</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People of particular sexual orientation</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People on low incomes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People in particular age groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Groups with particular faiths and beliefs</b>	<b>No</b>

<b>EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)</b>	<b>No</b>
---	-----------

## Section E

<b>Finance comments</b>
This proposal would result in a budget reduction of £0.050m across mileage budgets, excluding social care and grant funded cost centres. Budget monitoring during 2023/24 will highlight if there are any challenges to the delivery of the proposal.

<b>Signed RO</b>	2/2/2023
------------------	----------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	2/2/2023
-----------------------	----------

**Additional information (if required)**

There are many groups of roles across Council services that require travel as part of their day to day job description requirements, such as town planners, environmental health staff etc. and not just Social Workers. If staff were asked to use public transport as an alternative, this may drive up costs.

## BR1 - Section A

Service Area:	Human Resources and Organisational Development
Budget Reduction Title:	Review of car allowances as previously promised to reduce the amount paid as a lump sum to staff doing zero or minimal mileage

### Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:

An Essential Car Allowance of £500 is paid to staff members who are employed in positions which are designated as requiring a car as essential to completing the duties of the post. The money is paid over 12 months at £41.66 a month.

For the period April 2022 to December 2022 the Council had 641 staff members employed in roles that paid the Essential Car Allowance.

Analysis of the data shows that in the period April 2022 to December 2022, 302 (47%) of those individuals in roles with the Essential Car Allowance have recorded/claimed zero miles. For the same period 38 (6%) recorded/claimed for 1 to 100 miles and 301 (47%) recorded/claimed for 101 and above miles.

It should be noted that anecdotal evidence suggests that officers do not always claim mileage travelled, particularly where the value is low.

The Liberal Democrats have proposed generating a £0.050m saving by reducing the number of posts that attract an essential car user payment. A saving of £0.050m would equate to removal of allowance from 100 employees. Due to consultation requirements, only a part year reduction of £0.013m would be generated in 2023/24 with an additional saving of £0.037m in 2024/25.

There is a local agreement with Trades Unions regarding the assessment of entitlement and application of the Car Allowance Scheme. The scheme comprises a series of factors, including mileage, and allocates points per factor. Consultation with Trades Unions and individuals would need to take place before staff terms and conditions could be amended.

2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment	£000
Employees	0
Other Operational Expenses	462
Income	0
<b>Total</b>	<b>462</b>

Current Forecast (under) / overspend	(18)
--------------------------------------	------

Number of posts (Full time equivalent)	0
--	---

	2023/2024	2024/2025	2025/2026
Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)	(13)	(37)	-
Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)	-	-	-

Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it on-going?	On-going
--	----------

## Section B

### What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
As Essential user status bestows free parking on Council property, budget is provided to the Property Team. Removal of Essential user status would lead to a reduction in the Property Team budget.
<b>Service Delivery</b>
Employees may refuse to use their own vehicles to complete their duties which may result in service delay, especially in the areas of social care. The removal of allowances in certain areas could have a detrimental impact on recruitment and retention, for example Social Workers where recruitment is already difficult.
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
Potential to increase travel costs associated with other methods e.g. taxi, public transport.
<b>Organisation</b>
None.
<b>Workforce</b>
Certain individuals will have Car Allowance removed. Car parking costs would also increase for those individuals who are reclassified from Essential Users. The contractual change may not be accepted by the individual resulting in their dismissal and re-engagement.
<b>Communities / Service Users</b>
Potential for delays in receiving support in certain areas, e.g. social care.
<b>Oldham Cares</b>
None.
<b>Partner Organisations</b>
The alignment of practice within Team Oldham organisation should be considered as part of the exercise.

### Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Residents</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>People and Place - Property</b>	

<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
N/A	

<b>Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements</b>
Achievement of a budget reduction.

## Section C

### Key Risks and Mitigations

Risk	Mitigation
The allowance may be taken from users who travel a significant number of miles but have not claimed recompense in the past.	Communicate to claimants the requirement to claim mileage on a regular basis. This would develop an accurate baseline on which to conduct the exercise. The claiming of mileage previously unclaimed will come at an initial cost.
Where allowance is removed there may be an increase in costs associated with other modes of transport, e.g. taxis, public transport.	None.
There is a requirement to undertake appropriate consultation and seek agreement from Trades Unions.	Excellent working relationships with Trades Unions should facilitate and appropriate agreement. In the event agreement is not possible, individual consultation will be required and dismissal and re-engagement processes invoked at last resort.
Services areas where the allowance is paid may be experiencing recruitment and retention difficulties as a result of the proposal. Areas such as Children's Social Work already experience significant difficulties in recruiting and this may exacerbate the issue.	The revised scheme must account for critical areas.
The savings are indicative at this point and have not been modelled on the final scheme (as this is presently not finalised). The full savings may therefore not be realised.	The revised scheme / removal of the allowance will account for the required savings and seek to deliver.
The organisation and services have not yet developed the post-Covid operating model which may further increase remote working and reliance on car travel to visit service users.	Proposals should be developed in synergy with targeted working styles.

### Key Development and Delivery Milestones

Milestone	Timeline
Proposal presented to the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee.	7 February 2023

Communicate to all staff the requirement to claim mileage in a timely manner.	April – May 2023
Finalise new scheme / allowances (or removal of)	June – September 2023
Consult with affected employees and Trade Unions	October – December 2023
Implement new scheme	January 2024

## Section D

<b>Consultation required?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
-------------------------------	------------

	<b>Start</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>
<b>Staff</b>	October 2023	December 2023
<b>Trade Union</b>	October 2023	December 2023
<b>Public</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Service Users</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Other</b>	N/A	N/A

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

<b>Disabled people</b>	N/A
<b>Particular Ethnic Groups</b>	N/A
<b>Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)</b>	N/A
<b>People who are married or in a civil partnership</b>	N/A
<b>People of particular sexual orientation</b>	N/A
<b>People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment</b>	N/A
<b>People on low incomes</b>	N/A
<b>People in particular age groups</b>	N/A
<b>Groups with particular faiths and beliefs</b>	N/A

<b>EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)</b>	N/A
---	-----

## Section E

### Finance comments

The reduction in the number of essential users for car allowances by 100 employees would generate a saving of £0.050m, due to the need to consult this would be phased over 2023/24 (£0.13m) and 2024/25 (£0.037m). The achievement of this budget reduction will be reliant on successful negotiation with Trades Unions and the management of any consequences such as additional alternative travel arrangements.

<b>Signed RO</b>	2/2/2023
------------------	----------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	2/2/2023
-----------------------	----------



**Additional information (if required)**

## BR1 - Section A

<b>Service Area:</b>	<b>People Services</b>
<b>Budget Reduction Title:</b>	<b>Reduction in the General Training Budget</b>

### Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:

The general training budget for 2022/23 is £0.322m, following the application of an approved Administration Budget Reduction of £0.050m.

The Liberal Democrats propose to make a permanent reduction of £0.080m from 2023/24 by utilising the apprenticeship levy wherever possible and taking advantage of remote courses and training which have become more prevalent mainly as a result of the pandemic.

Since 2017/18, all employers with an annual pay bill of more than £3.000m are required to pay an apprenticeship levy. The levy is payable at 0.5% of the total pay bill. Oldham Council pays this levy to HMRC who hold the monies in a digital fund. These monies are available for 2 years from the date of payment with any unspent funds being retained by HMRC.

The apprenticeship levy pays for apprenticeship training and assessment for apprentices that work at least 50% of the time in England, and only up to the funding band maximum for that apprenticeship. There are several approved providers and courses which can be assessed through this levy.

The proposed reduction would require all future training requirements, which cannot be funded via the apprenticeship levy, to be reviewed to ensure that best value is being secured. Priority would be provided to statutory and mandatory training. Any additional training and development would be assessed on the basis of value provided to the organisation, managing any identified risks to the Council in terms of building capability across all service areas.

The Liberal Democrats are mindful of a wider budget reduction; COR-BR1-620, proposed by the Administration, which proposes a restructure to the Human Resources and Organisational Development Portfolio. It will however retain the proposed reduction of £0.080m in relation to the General Training Budget in the belief that there is scope for further reductions in this area

<b>2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment</b>	<b>£000</b>
Employees	N/A
Other Operational Expenses	322
Income	N/A
<b>Total</b>	<b>322</b>

<b>Current Forecast (under) / overspend</b>	0
---	---

<b>Number of posts (Full time equivalent)</b>	N/A
---	-----

	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>
<b>Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)</b>	(80)	0	0
<b>Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)</b>	0	0	0

<b>Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?</b>	Ongoing
--	---------

## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
None.
<b>Service Delivery</b>
Any reduction in the budget would impact on front-line service delivery. The type of training funded by the training budget only covers statutory and mandatory training, such as First Aid training, manual handling, HGV training etc. This would undermine services ability to have suitably trained staff to deliver front-line services, as well as potentially compromising services ability to demonstrate compliance for registration such as CQC, Ofsted etc. In some service areas, this would also make it difficult to recruit to roles where we provide the on-the job training, as we would be unlikely to be able to attract already qualified candidates due to significant market pressures e.g. HGV licence holders.
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
The proposal would significantly detriment the ability to deliver core services and impact on our legislative and statutory requirements to suitably train and skill our workforce.
<b>Organisation</b>
The proposal would significantly detriment the ability to deliver core services and impact on our legislative and statutory requirements to suitably train and skill our workforce.
<b>Workforce</b>
Reduced investment in the development of employees will have an impact on both individuals and the wider organisation. The reduction in development activity may increase levels of employee turnover and reduce the ability to attract new talent, especially in hard to fill roles and those where the Council needs to grow new skillsets.
<b>Communities / Service Users</b>
The proposal would impact on staff within service provision and therefore would impact on communities and service users, this would include Foster Carers & Members who receive training via the training budget. Where possible, the Council always aims to use local suppliers of training and a further reduction in budget spend could therefore impact on local businesses.
<b>Oldham Cares</b>
The investment in workforce is being treated as a system wide issue and so the Council's approach is likely to have a knock-on impact and affect the investment and commitment that our partners in the Oldham Cares space feel they need to make.
<b>Partner Organisations</b>
Similar issues to those mentioned under the Oldham Cares paragraph.

Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Residents</b>	<b>Yes, in part</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>Yes</b>

<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Any training providers the Council currently use</b>	
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>All</b>	
<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/A</b>	
<b>Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements</b>	
A £0.080m budget reduction and the achievement of improved value by driving down supplier costs and/or demand. A greater focus on internal training delivery and self-directed learning will also be adopted.	

## Section C

### Key Risks and Mitigations

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Mitigation</b>
General training provision will reduce, limiting the statutory and mandatory development of employees.	Training would have to be prioritised based on greatest need/greatest impact to service provision/compliance requirements. Services would be required to support training funding.
Early years settings development training (to assist with Ofsted requirements) would be impacted.	Any future training requirements would have to be funded direct from Children's Budgets.

### Key Development and Delivery Milestones

<b>Milestone</b>	<b>Timeline</b>
Proposal presented to Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee.	7 February 2023
Implementation.	1 April 2023
N/A	N/A

N/A	N/A
-----	-----

## Section D

<b>Consultation required?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
-------------------------------	------------

	<b>Start</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>
<b>Staff</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> April 23	31 <sup>st</sup> May 23
<b>Trade Union</b>	1 <sup>st</sup> April 23	31 <sup>st</sup> May 23
<b>Public</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Service Users</b>	N/A	N/A
<b>Other</b>	N/A	N/A

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

<b>Disabled people</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Particular Ethnic Groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are married or in a civil partnership</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People of particular sexual orientation</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People on low incomes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People in particular age groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Groups with particular faiths and beliefs</b>	<b>No</b>

<b>EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)</b>	<b>No</b>
---	-----------

## Section E

### Finance comments

The implementation of this proposal would create an ongoing saving of £0.080m from the central training budget from 2023/24. An Administration proposal, COR-BR1-620 (£0.100m in 2023/24), proposes a restructure to the Human Resources and Organisational Development Portfolio. The proposed reduction of £0.080m is specifically in relation to the General Training Budget.

The prioritisation of statutory and mandatory training through the Development Academy may encourage services to source additional training requirements from service budgets with the potential of creating pressures in these areas. The forecast outturn for the training budget in 2022/23 is a balanced position although it is possible that at the year-end there may be some under spending, possibly as a result of a shift in demand and delivery methods as a result of COVID-19

<b>Signed RO</b>	2/2/2023
------------------	----------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	2/2/2023
-----------------------	----------

**Additional information (if required)**

It should be noted that the Apprentice Levy cannot be used to fund general training. As a result, there can be no offsetting of general training budget by Apprentice Levy funds.

Currently the training budget is used to fund mandatory and statutory training for services only. There has been a significant increase in demand for statutory and mandatory training as services return to full compliance post pandemic. Costs of training suppliers has increased in line with general cost of living rises, and there are still requirements to hold significant amounts of training in person, such as Resuscitation training etc.

## BR1 - Section A

<b>Service Area:</b>	<b>Communications and Research</b>
<b>Budget Reduction Title:</b>	<b>Reconsideration of Council priorities with regards to the Communications and Research service</b>

### Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:

The Communication team's role is to ensure that information and key messaging about Council services, decisions and campaigns are equally accessible to all of the borough's residents, our staff and our partners. Oldham Council has a centralised Communications and Research team with staff delivering digital, design internal communications, media relations, marketing and social media content creation with business partner support to each directorate.

As more information moves online and residents become increasingly technologically advanced the need to produce hard copy publications reduces. As such the Liberal Democrats propose to reduce the service budget for the Communications and Research team by £0.278m over a two year period.

This reduction would be met by generating £0.273m through ceasing the publication of '@Oldham Council, Working for You' and deleting/ disestablishing 5.4 FTE posts.

Alongside the above reductions, it is proposed to generate revenue of circa £0.005m through income generation activities across the full range of Council media channels

<b>2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment</b>	<b>£000</b>
Employees	959
Other Operational Expenses	74
Income	(4)
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,029</b>

<b>Current Forecast (under) / overspend</b>	<b>(20)</b>
<b>Number of posts (Full time equivalent)</b>	<b>19.00</b>

	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>
<b>Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)</b>	<b>(208)</b>	<b>(70)</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)</b>	<b>(5.4)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

<b>Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?</b>	<b>On-going</b>
--	-----------------



## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
None.
<b>Service Delivery</b>
Such significant savings to the Communications would destabilise the service's ability to deliver effective communications to the people of Oldham, and mean some core communications activity could not be delivered.
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
<p>Reducing the number of staff by 5.4 would mean that the Communications service would have to focus only on some essential elements of the service, which are chiefly reactive communications such as responding to media enquiries.</p> <p>This would mean the team would be unable to proactively engage with residents and communities via social and digital media; produce videos, undertake as much research and public engagement, or put out press releases with positive news stories about the council's work. This could then lead to a knock-on reputational risk due to a reduction in positive, proactive, communications teamed with far less direct engagement with residents and communities.</p> <p>The team would also be unable to carry out campaigns work for essential areas such as adoption, foster carer recruitment, social work recruitment and more, which could severely impact on our ability as a Council to deliver critical services such as caring for vulnerable young people.</p> <p>The aim of launching the Oldham Council: Working for You council newspaper was primarily to provide essential information, such as contact numbers and guidance on help and support available to households, to those without access to the Internet.</p> <p>Ceasing the publication of Oldham Council: Working for You could therefore lead to people who do not have access to our website or social media channels being unable to access the information they need, and struggling to get in touch with Council departments.</p>
<b>Organisation</b>
Such a significant reduction in the Communications budget would mean non-essential communications internally would have to be ceased. This would lead to the organisation as a whole being less informed and less able to be effective ambassadors who understand our values and behaviours, or our aims and objectives for the borough – hampering colleagues' ability to serve local leaders and put residents first.
<b>Workforce</b>
Removing almost a third of the staffing in the service would create increased pressure on staff that remain, as well as removing technical specialisms such as design, video production and web expertise.
<b>Communities / Service Users</b>
<p>Reduced communications activity – including social media – would leave residents less well informed about what the Council provides, including critical information such as making safeguarding referrals, getting help with housing, or accessing the range of support available through the Cost of Living crisis.</p> <p>Adding advertising to the website would also have a detrimental effect on the customer experience. At present, the Communications team focuses on trying to simplify web content and create interfaces that are designed to assist the resident in their tasks.</p> <p>Selling advertising space would undermine that effort, decrease usability and make it more difficult for service users – particularly our most vulnerable residents – to complete tasks online.</p>

## Partner Organisations

Reduced partner communications would leave partners unclear of the role of the Council on key partnership projects, and less able to collaborate effectively.

### Who are the key stakeholders?

Staff	Yes
Elected Members	Yes
Residents	Yes
Local business community	Yes
Schools	Yes
Trade Unions	Yes
External partners (if yes please specify below)	Yes
All i.e. Oldham Cares	
Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)	Yes
All	
Other (if yes please specify below)	No
N/A	
<b>Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements</b>	
A budget reduction of £0.278m across 2023/24 and 2024/25.	

## Section C

### Key Risks and Mitigations

Risk	Mitigation
The Communications and Research team will be unable to meet demand.	Priorities would have to be reviewed and activity reduced simply to support statutory services.
Proactive communications would be vastly reduced.	A tightly defined and agreed set of core priorities would have to be agreed across the organisation with an acceptance that many existing communications activities would cease.
A reduction in communication opportunities could lead to some areas delivering their own messaging.	Utilise other avenues for communication within the organisation or partners.
Inappropriate advertisements appearing on the Council website through automatic advertising placement.	Increased officer time would need to be spent managing this aspect of the website, to ensure no adverts appear on the site that are controversial or inappropriate. The cost of this may outweigh revenue brought in by the advertising.

## Key Development and Delivery Milestones

Milestone	Timeline
Proposal presented to Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee.	7 February 2023
Staff and Trades Union consultations.	March to May 2023
Implementation of proposal.	From July 2023
N/A	N/A

## Section D

Consultation required?	Yes
------------------------	-----

	Start	Conclusion
Staff	March 2023	May 2023
Trade Union	March 2023	May 2023
Public	N/A	N/A
Service Users	N/A	N/A
Other	N/A	N/A

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

Disabled people	No
Particular Ethnic Groups	No
Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)	No
People who are married or in a civil partnership	No
People of particular sexual orientation	No

People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment	No
People on low incomes	No
People in particular age groups	No
Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	No

EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)	No
--	----

## Section E

<b>Finance comments</b>
<p>This option would result in a reduction of 5.4 posts within the Communications and Research service and the cessation of the Oldham Council Working For You publication, which would generate a saving of £0.273m in a full financial year. There is also an additional proposal to generate additional income estimated at £0.005m per annum and therefore the total budget reduction would be £0.278m over a two year period. The proposal may incur redundancy costs which would be funded centrally.</p> <p>The Communications and Research Service has put forward a budget reduction of £0.022m for 2023/24 (with a further £0.022m in 2024/25), achieved by a review of the Research and Engagement function, for this reason the initially proposed reduction of £0.300m in a full year is reduced accordingly to £0.278m.</p> <p>Due to the requirement for consultation with Trades Unions and staff, the whole of the reduction cannot be achieved in the first year. The profiling to incorporate this process is therefore the realisation of £0.208m in 2023/24 and £0.070m in 2024/25.</p>

<b>Signed RO</b>	2/2/2023
------------------	----------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	2/2/2023
-----------------------	----------

**Additional information (if required)**

## BR1 - Section A

Service Area:	Children's Social Care
Budget Reduction Title:	External Placement Cost Avoidance

### Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:

Children's Social Care has for the past two years in particular experienced significant financial pressure, in part but not wholly due to the increased demand for services which increased significantly in the first winter of the pandemic in 2020 and have continued to rise incrementally thereafter. One of the key manifestations of this demand has been the number of children placed in external residential care, often outside of the borough. The proposal is therefore to establish a second, Council owned and operated residential children's home, creating an additional 4 places on top of the 4 that will be provided through the Children's Investment Programme approved by Cabinet on 12 December 2022.

The capital investment required is £0.500m to enable the purchase of a suitable property and make the necessary adaptations, with works scheduled to be undertaken in 2023/24 in readiness for operations to commence at the start of 2024/25.

The revenue costs, which will start to be incurred in 2024/25, total £0.901m and are in the main staff plus living expenses for the residents and property running and maintenance costs

The plan to open a second residential home for Children Looked After will enable four children currently placed in external residential care to be brought back into the borough and cared for in the Council run facility. The average cost of an external placement is currently £5,075 per week. There are 18 placements with weekly costs in excess of the average and the current highest weekly cost for a placement is £9,373 per week. Targeting those higher cost placements will enable 4 packages of care at an estimated average weekly cost of £5,350 to be recommissioned with a potential annual saving of £1.113m. Work will need to begin to identify and smoothly transition the move in the latter part of 2023/24, experience shows that full occupancy on the date of opening is a challenging proposition, it is therefore assumed all 4 beds will be utilised by the end of quarter 1 in the year of opening, the saving is therefore phased £0.835m in 2024/25 and £0.278m in 2025/26.

2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment	£000
Employees	0
Other Operational Expenses	7,385
Income	
<b>Total</b>	<b>7,385</b>

Current Forecast (under) / overspend	5,154
Number of posts (Full time equivalent)	

	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)	0	(835)	(278)
Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)	0	0	0

Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?	Ongoing
---	---------

## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
Review of existing residential assets and their purpose, identify suitable asset from Council stock or wider housing market
<b>Service Delivery</b>
Increase focus on earlier intervention, prevention and internal placement provision including Fostering and Adolescent Support Unit
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
Increased internal placement capacity alongside timely planning and scrutiny to return children back to the Borough when it is safe to do so
<b>Organisation</b>
N/a
<b>Workforce</b>
Increased employment opportunities within Childrens Social Care. A cohort of staff will be required to run the new facility
<b>Communities / Service Users</b>
Opportunity for Oldham Looked After Children to be cared for within the Borough
<b>Oldham Cares</b>
N/a
<b>Partner Organisations</b>
N/a

Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Residents</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>All i.e. Oldham Cares</b>	
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>All</b>	
<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/A</b>	

### Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements

Increase residential capacity will reduce the number of external placements; mitigating new placements where possible to allow current placements to expire due to age or step down will improve the quality of care and outcomes for Children Looked After (CLA) as they are more likely to be placed within the LA boundary and therefore in receipt of Oldham's wrap round health and social care offer.

## Section C

### Key Risks and Mitigations

Risk	Mitigation
Construction Risk: Unable to locate suitable premises, planning permission not granted, construction delays	Early engagement, consult with relevant Council services, multi-disciplinary work group established
Over ambitious plan to establish two new residential homes in the same financial year	See above, plus there will be cost, planning and design and build synergies from undertaking two projects in parallel, in the worst case opening could be phased to accommodate any delays.
The higher cost placements are children with higher degrees of complexity, the external placements targeted may not be appropriate for the accommodation being created, or over time may become inappropriate for the CLA's needs.	Continue to conduct placement review panels to ascertain the appropriateness of all current external placements. Begin the selection/ identification process well in advance of 1 April 2024. If necessary look to CLA with less complex needs
Internal provision does not provide enough fostering capacity, this will lead to increased reliance on external provision where fostering placements are not found	The Children's Social Care investment plan introduces a range of measures to recruit and retain internal fostering provision

### Key Development and Delivery Milestones

Milestone	Timeline
Identify and purchase suitable property	1 March 2023- 31 May 2023
Construction Phase: Obtain necessary approvals, design and build	1 June 2023 to 31 March 2024
Identify suitable placements to enable as close to full occupancy as possible from 1 April 2024	1 Jan 2024 to 31 March 2024



Recruitment and training in advance of opening on 1 April 2024	1 Jan 2024 to 31 March 2024
--	-----------------------------

## Section D

Consultation required?	Yes
------------------------	-----

	Start	Conclusion
Staff	N/A	N/A
Trade Union	N/A	N/A
Public	1 March 2023	31 May 2023
Service Users	N/A	N/A
Other	N/A	N/A

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

Disabled people	No
Particular Ethnic Groups	No
Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)	No
People who are married or in a civil partnership	No
People of particular sexual orientation	No
People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment	No
People on low incomes	No
People in particular age groups	No
Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	No

EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)	No
--	----

## Section E

Finance comments
<p>The proposed reduction is linked to the commissioning of a second, 4 bed, residential children's home in the borough, the programme would run in tandem with the home proposed in the Children's Social Care Investment programme. Procurement, adaptation and commissioning the facility will take place in 2023/24 in readiness for opening on 1 April 2024. Annual revenue costs are expected to be £0.901m per annum.</p> <p>The increased capacity will enable four children currently placed in external residential care to be brought back into the borough and cared for in the Council run facility. The average cost of an external placement is currently £5,075 per week. Of the current 52 placements there are 18 placements with weekly costs in excess of the average and the current highest weekly cost for a placement is £9,373 per week. Targeting</p>

those higher cost placements will enable 4 packages of care at an average weekly cost of £5,350 to be recommissioned with a potential annual saving of £1.113m. Work will need to begin to identify and smoothly transition the move in the latter part of 2023/24. As experience shows that full occupancy on 1 April 2024 is a challenging proposition, it is therefore assumed all 4 beds will be utilised by the end of quarter 1 in the year of opening, with 75% occupancy in year 1. The saving is therefore phased £0.835m in 2024/25 and £0.278m in 2025/26.

<b>Signed RO</b>	02/02/2023
------------------	------------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	02/02/2023
-----------------------	------------

**Additional information (if required)**

This page is intentionally left blank



## Report to Policy Overview & Scrutiny Committee

# Conservative Budget Amendment Proposals 2023/24

**Portfolio Holder:** Councillor M. Woodvine

**Officer:** Chris Kelsall, Assistant Director of Finance

**07 February 2023**

---

### Reason for Decision

This Report presents to Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee the Conservative Group proposals for amendments to the Administration's Budget for 2023/24.

### Executive Summary

This report presents to the Committee a series of amendments in addition to those proposals already presented by the Administration in the 2023/24 Budget. This report proposes, for the second consecutive year, a freeze in Council Tax for General Purposes. While the Conservatives in Opposition do support spending on Adult Social Care across the Borough, they do not support some of the Administration's policy priorities.

The proposed amendments to the Administration's 2023/24 Budget contained in this Report take into consideration the international situations such as the Russo-Ukrainian War, the United Kingdom's (U.K.) withdrawal from the European Union (EU), and the Covid-19 pandemic that have made the financial situation for all Local Authorities worse than in previous times. While the Conservative Group understand and appreciate the difficulties so many Councils are facing, financially, they do believe further economies could be made in Oldham.

The Conservative Group also believes the generous Local Government settlement set out by the U.K. Government in Westminster has improved the situation very positively. In addition, thanks to the investment from His Majesty's Government in the form of the Household Support and the Council Tax Support Fund, which have eased the burden for families and individuals experiencing cost-of-living pressures, the Conservative Group has been enabled to replenish reserves by discontinuing the Administration's plans to replicate the same service.

Also taken into consideration during the production of the proposed amendments in this report are the parameters in which Oldham Council have to work within. That is, direction set by His Majesty's Government, in policy areas such as Education, and the strategic oversight of the Greater Manchester Combined Authority across the Metropolitan County.

---

The main purpose of the proposals in this report is to ensure that the people living in Oldham do not have to pay a penny more as they compete with the pressures of the cost-of-living situation. The priority of the Conservative Group at this time is to keep taxation at the lowest possible level.

The proposals, while making further Budget reductions, do not directly impact any of the Council's statutory services and residents should not feel any direct result of them – that has been the priority of the Conservative Group. The Conservatives in Opposition are retaining resource in statutory services, which residents expect to be delivered well, but asking this Council to feel the pinch for itself and giving residents much-needed relief in freezing Council Tax in these inflationary times.

This is achievable through significant savings proposals identified within this report that within two years will reduce the Council's base budget requirement by over £3m on an annual basis (of which £2.748m will be delivered in 2023/24). This includes a partial reversal of the Administration's response to the cost of living pressures, which will save some £1.290m in 2023/24. These savings will enable a reduction in the ruling Administration's proposed use of reserves of some £0.662m in 2023/24 (and a further £0.345m in 2024/25). This increased financial resilience can be used to reduce Council Tax increases in future years.

Details of the proposals can be found in Section 3 and in summary at Appendix One. Business Cases for the individual budget reductions can be found at Appendix Two.

## **Recommendations**

That the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee considers and recommends to Cabinet:

1. The proposal to implement no increase in Council Tax for General Purposes in 2023/24.
2. To partially reverse the Administration's proposals (as presented) in respect of the Council's response to the cost-of-living situation to save £1.290m for 2023/24 and a further £0.500m in 2024/25 totalling £1.790m.
3. The savings proposals totalling a further £1.459m for 2023/24 as set out at paragraphs 3.7 to 3.18 in this report.

## Conservative Budget Amendment Proposals 2023/24

### 1. Background

- 1.1 The Council is required by legislation to produce a balanced budget each financial year. Aside from the legal requirements, financial plans are important because they set out the financial management of the Council's policies and guide Officers on the areas where they should prioritise resources.
- 1.2 In the current economic environment, it is becoming more challenging to deliver a balanced budget. With several Councils having approached the Government for financial assistance or having issued Section 114 notices banning all non-essential spending, the safe stewardship of the Council's resources and supporting deliverable priorities has become ever more important. These amendments ensure a balanced budget.
- 1.3 The Conservatives have produced a series of budget amendments to revise key decisions set out by the Administration. The Administration's proposals are ineffective in alleviating the cost-of-living pressures many families are facing; especially from higher gas and electricity bills as well as increases in the price of many other goods associated with the current increased levels of inflation.
- 1.4 In producing this Budget Amendment, the Conservatives are offering several common-sense savings proposals totalling £2.748m for 2023/24. This includes a partial reversal of the Administration's response to cost-of-living pressures (as presented) saving £1.290m in 2023/24 with a further reduction of £0.500m in 2024/25. This will allow the Council to reverse the 1.99% increase in Council Tax for General Purposes for 2023/24 proposed by the Administration and the savings will also enable a reduction in the ruling Administration's proposed use of reserves of up to £0.662m. This additional resource will be available to fund any redundancy costs associated with the proposals contained in this report and will reduce the requirement for future Council Tax increases.

### 2. Current Position

- 2.1 The context of the budget setting process remains as much this year as in previous years impacted by the unprecedented Covid-19 pandemic – the worst in a century – paired with the impossible international situations such as the Russo-Ukrainian War which has plunged many of the world's powers into recession and caused significant levels of disruption which will inevitably continue. These events have manifested in supply shortages in key industries leading to higher prices and rising inflation, not just in the U.K. but also in all developed nations.
- 2.2 So, and with this in mind, the Conservatives in Opposition believe this Council can do more to alleviate the cost-of-living pressures many families and individuals are facing across the Borough of Oldham as the worldwide economy continues to recover. The Conservatives believe this Council should do more to mitigate the impact of inflation by freezing the level of Council Tax for General Purposes. Residents will benefit from retaining a higher proportion of their earned income, awarding them greater purchasing power to meet rising household costs.

- 
- 2.3 The Administration has presented an increased series of saving proposals, compared to previous years, as part of the 2023/24 budget setting process which the Conservatives are taking as the starting point for the proposed amendments set out in this report. The Conservatives recognise that, especially for the forthcoming Budget, difficult decisions need to be made to deliver a balanced budget that can be presented to the Committee. Moreover, the Conservatives recognise that further savings are required to reduce the future reliance on reserves as set out in the Medium Term Financial Strategy and reduce the need for excessive Council Tax increases in the future.

### **3. 2023/24 Proposals**

- 3.1 The Conservatives in Opposition propose some specific amendments to be considered for the 2023/24 Revenue Budget. These are split into the following areas:

- Helping with cost-of-living pressures
- Additional Investment
- Response to cost-of-living pressures
- Budget Reduction proposals

#### **Helping with cost-of-living pressures**

- 3.2 The Conservative Group's proposal to implement no increase in Council Tax for General Purposes for 2023/24 will reduce Council Tax revenue by £2.086m per annum from 2023/24. Whilst this means it is necessary to identify additional savings to offset these revenue losses, the Conservatives believe the need to help hard-working people with cost-of-living pressures should be the overriding priority and that those people will benefit from reduced Council Tax rates this year, and in the future.

#### **Additional Investment**

- 3.3 Considering the cost pressures and financial challenges that this Council is facing, the Conservatives in Opposition are proposing no additional investment in this report.

#### **Response to cost-of-living pressures**

- 3.4 The Conservatives recommend a partial reversal in 2023/24 of the cost-of-living investment initiatives (as presented) by the Administration of £1.790m reducing to £0.938m in 2024/25. The partial reversal presented by the Conservatives in Opposition will reduce expenditure by a total of £1.290m in 2023/24 leaving £0.500m to continue to offer support the scheme in 2023/24 as it is wound down. A further adjustment to the Administration's presented budget for cost-of-living in 2024/25 would result in this budget being removed in full.
- 3.5 Whilst the Conservatives recognise the importance of providing additional support to vulnerable people impacted by the increases in the cost-of-living, the Conservatives believe that the current proposals do not target resources effectively and that a freeze in general taxation directly benefits a much larger proportion of the Borough's population (many of whom would appreciate the assistance) at source, rather than the Administration's plans to spend monies from all taxpayers on administration and redistributing to a smaller amount of people.



---

3.6 It is also noted that the U.K. Government has been conscientious to these needs by providing significant resources to this Council for 2023/24 that can be directly distributed to residents. This includes:

- The Household Support Fund where Oldham's allocation in 2022/23 was £4.838m and expected to be a similar level for 2023/24.
- The Council Tax Support Fund, where Oldham's confirmed allocation for 2023/24 is £0.573m.

### **Budget Reduction Proposals**

3.7 The Conservatives in Opposition are proposing a range of budget reduction proposals which will reduce spend on non-essential services in order to prioritise helping people deal with cost-of-living pressures. An explanation of these savings proposals is provided below with proformas at Appendix Two.

#### **OPP-BR1-301 – Reduction in Executive Management costs through the deletion of the following posts: Deputy Chief Executive, Assistant Chief Executive and Executive Director for Place and Economic Growth (£0.241m in 2023/24, £0.241m in 2024/25)**

3.8 This proposal will reduce a high-cost layer of senior management without adversely impacting upon service delivery. The existing Executive Management can be concentrated with the Chief Executive, and respective Directors can be empowered with decision-making responsibilities, reporting back to the Chief Executive. This will make Directors directly accountable with more decisions being delegated to the Director level.

3.9 The Conservatives in Opposition believe retaining a bloated Senior Leadership Team (SLT) is not sustainable as residents are struggling to be burdened with increases in Council Tax every year and equally want to see priority given to good statutory service provision.

3.10 This proposal will save £0.482m in salary and associated on-costs with £0.241m saved in 2023/24 on the assumption that posts become deleted mid-way through the year. Redundancy costs will be incurred, which can be met out the increased reserves available as a result of these proposals - as referred to in paragraph 1.4 of this report.

#### **OPP-BR1-302 – Discontinuation of the District Partnerships (£1.088m in 2023/24, £0.456m in 2024/25)**

3.11 The Conservatives in Opposition believe that this is an expensive, non-statutory service that cannot be justified with the current financial pressures this Council is facing. All resources in this area should be diverted to services that deliver more tangible and noticeable benefits to residents.

3.12 Overall, this proposal will save some £1.2m in salary costs together with some £0.4m in grant awards and other expenditure per annum, giving rise to a saving of £1.088m in 2023/24 and a further £0.456m in 2024/25. The Conservatives believe the current structure is not working and services provided by the staff in the District Partnerships

---

could and should be exercised by Elected Members. For such undertakings, Elected Members should be capable and willing to directly correspond with responsible Officers in order to progress Ward casework, independent from the District Partnerships. The Districts have not proven effective in co-ordinating strategic direction with the constituent Elected Members.

- 3.13 It is acknowledged that this proposal reduces expenditure on community activities – but this is a matter of heart and mind, not money. The Conservatives have not seen evidence of the tangible benefits that this service provides and again do not believe this expenditure can be justified in the current financial climate.
- 3.14 The Conservative Group believes that activities of this nature are most effectively advanced by the local Voluntary, Community, Faith, and Social Enterprise sector (VCFSE) across the Borough, and that Oldham Council operations in the space are counterproductive as they are largely perceived as being wasteful, with residents' expectation that the majority of their Council Tax contributions are spent on statutory services.
- 3.15 Redundancy costs will be incurred, which can be met out the increased reserves available as a result of these proposals, as referred to in paragraph 1.4 of this report.

**OPP-BR1-303 - Reconsideration of Council priorities with regards to Strategic Customer Service (£0.130m in 2023/24)**

- 3.16 The Conservatives in Opposition believe that there is further scope in this area to reduce spend on this non-statutory service and re-prioritise this to other areas due to its ineffective use of funds. The Conservatives also believe that this reduction would have no adverse effects.
- 3.17 Similar to the District Partnership proposal, this service performs functions which the Conservative Group believe the vast majority of people are not most concerned that the Council should be doing well. The Conservatives want the Council to perform its basic functions well, efficiencies made in non-statutory staffing costs, and residents to have some respite in their bills rather than being treated as a source of income to be squeezed.
- 3.18 As such, the Conservatives propose to eliminate unnecessary expenditure in this area, which is allocated for spending with outside organisations rather than within this Council. This would generate a saving of £0.130m in 2023/24 through removing the Strategic Customer Services budget.

**4. Summary of Proposals**

- 4.1 Bringing together all of the proposals set out in Section 3 of this Report would generate a saving of £2.748m in 2023/24 and further £0.345m in 2024/25. This would enable a balanced budget position to be achieved without the Administration's proposed increase in Council Tax for General Purposes for 2023/24. Moreover, all proposals together will allow for a reduction in the use of reserves of £0.662m in 2023/24 (subject to incurring redundancy costs) and £0.345m in 2024/25 that will help obviate the need for future Council Tax increases.

---

4.2 The proposals set out in this report are summarised at Appendix One. The Budget Reductions are detailed at Appendix Two.

## **5. Director of Finance Comments**

5.1 I confirm in my role as Responsible Officer under Section 151 of the Local Government Act 1972 that the budget amendments (as presented) are robust and deliverable.

5.2 As it is an alternative set of budget options the opportunity for testing the risks associated with the proposals are more limited and it is therefore necessary to afford a level of caution in presenting these alternatives. The proposal not to increase the level of Council Tax for general purposes is possible but not a recommended approach as it increases the budget challenge and reduces financial resilience for 2024/25 and later years.

(Anne Ryans)

## **6. Options/Alternatives**

6.1 With regard to the proposals set out in this report, the options available to the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee are to:

- Accept and recommend to Cabinet all of the recommendations of the report.
- Accept and recommend to Cabinet some of the recommendations of the report and reject others.
- Reject all of the recommendations of the report.

## **7. Preferred Option**

7.1 The preferred option is that the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee accepts and recommends to Cabinet all of the recommendations.

## **8. Consultation**

8.1 Heads of Service have been consulted in compiling the proposals set out in this report and the proposals have been agreed within the content of the business cases attached in Appendix B. The presentation of the Conservative Budget Amendment proposals to the Policy Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 7 February 2023 will be the first stage of the budget consultation process.

## **9. Financial Implications**

9.1 Full financial implications are included within this report.

## **10. Legal Services Comments**

10.1 There are no immediate legal issues arising from the report save that where the proposals involves potential redundancies, appropriate HR processes will need to be followed in accordance with the Council's policies and procedures. Where community activities are potentially impacted an appropriate equalities impact assessment

---

should be undertaken in order to seek to mitigate any potential adverse impacts of such proposals.

## **11. Co-operative Agenda**

11.1 The proposals included within this report have been considered in conjunction with the Council's Co-operative Agenda and there are no adverse impacts.

## **12. Human Resources Comments**

12.1 The proposals included within this report are noted.

12.2 Options with workforce implications will be assessed should they be approved and discharged in accordance with the organisation's policies and procedures.

## **13. Risk Assessments**

13.1 The risks of deliverability have been considered and, as it is an alternative set of budget proposals, the opportunity for testing the risks associated with the proposals are more limited and as advised above, it is therefore necessary to afford a level of caution in presenting these alternatives.

13.2 The reduction in the level of Council Tax the Council can generate in future years represents a risk to future financial resilience.

## **14. IT Implications**

14.1 There are no specific IT requirements associated with the proposals that have not already been considered.

## **15. Property Implications**

15.1 There are no specific property implications associated with the proposals set out in this report.

## **16. Procurement Implications**

16.1 Any proposals that impact on the procurement of goods, services etc. will be undertaken in full liaison with the Procurement Service and in compliance with all necessary Council and statutory requirements.

## **17. Environmental and Health & Safety Implications**

17.1 There are no adverse environmental or Health and Safety implications associated with the proposals.

## **18. Equality, community cohesion and crime implications**

18.1 Any adverse equality, community cohesion or crime implications associated with the proposals would be assessed and mitigated when Equality Impact Assessments are undertaken.

---

**19. Equality Impact Assessment Completed**

19.1 These are not required at the present time for these proposals.

**20. Key Decision**

20.1 No.

**21. Key Decision Reference**

21.1 Not a Key Decision.

**22. Background Papers**

22.1 The following is a list of background papers on which this report is based in accordance with the requirements of Section 100(1) of the Local Government Act 1972. It does not include documents which would disclose exempt or confidential information as defined by the Act:

File Ref: Background papers are contained in Appendices One and Two  
Officer: Chris Kelsall, Assistant Director of Finance

**23. Appendices**

23.1 Appendix One: Summary of Amendments to the Administration's Revenue Budget Proposals.

23.2 Appendix Two: Business Cases for Conservative Budget Reduction Proposals

## Conservative Group

## Summary of Amendments to the Administration's Revenue Budget Proposals

	2023/24 £000	2024/25 £000
<b><u>Budget Pressures – Foregone Income/Additional Costs</u></b>		
<u>Helping with cost-of-living pressures</u>	2,086	
<b>Total Additional Budget Pressures</b>	<b>2,086</b>	

<b><u>Measures to Balance the Budget</u></b>		
<u>Reversal of Administration Proposals</u>		
Cost-of-living support package	(1,290)	352 <sup>1</sup>
<u>Budget Reduction Proposals</u>		
OPP-BR1-301 – Executive Management	(241)	(241)
OPP-BR1-302 – District Partnerships	(1,088)	(456)
OPP-BR1-303 – Strategic Customer Services	(130)	-
	<b>(2,748)</b>	<b>(345)</b>
Redundancy costs associated with the above	TBC	
<b>Reduced Contribution from reserves required to maintain a balanced revenue budget position</b>	<b>662</b>	<b>345</b>
<b>Total Measures to Balance the Budget</b>	<b>(2,086)</b>	<b>-</b>

<sup>1</sup> The ruling Administration proposes to reduce expenditure in respect of its Cost of Living Response from £1.290m in 2023/24 to £0.938m in 2024/25. The £0.352m addition in the table above does not represent new investment but simply reflects that the Conservative's proposed budget amendment of £1.290m in 2023/24 will reduce to the £0.938m in 2024/25 so that it eliminates the ruling Administration's proposed investment in that year.

# **Appendix Two**

## **Business Cases for Conservative Budget Reduction Proposals**

# **Budget reductions**

<b>Service Area:</b>	<b>Chief Executive/ Place &amp; Economic Growth</b>
<b>Budget Reduction Title:</b>	<b>Reduction in the Executive Management Team</b>

**Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:**

The proposal is to delete the following posts:

- Deputy Chief Executive
- Assistant Chief Executive
- Executive Director for Place and Economic Growth

This will remove a high-cost layer of senior management without adversely impacting upon service delivery. The existing Executive Management can be concentrated with the Chief Executive, and respective Directors can be empowered with decision-making responsibilities, reporting back to the Chief Executive. This will make Directors directly accountable with more decisions being delegated to the Director level.

<b>2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment</b>	<b>£000</b>
Employees	482
Other Operational Expenses	-
Income	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>482</b>

<b>Current Forecast (under) / overspend</b>	-
---	---

<b>Number of posts (Full time equivalent)</b>	-
---	---

	<b>2023/24</b>	<b>2024/25</b>	<b>2025/26</b>
<b>Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)</b>	(241)	(241)	0
<b>Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)</b>	3	0	0

<b>Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?</b>	On-going
--	----------



## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
None
<b>Service Delivery</b>
None
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
None
<b>Organisation</b>
A change to the way executive decisions are taken
<b>Workforce</b>
A requirement for a new Senior Management Team comprising the Chief Executive and directors
<b>Communities and Service Users</b>
None
<b>Oldham Cares</b>
None
<b>Other Partner Organisations</b>
None

Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Residents</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/a</b>	
<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/a</b>	

**Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements**

Over two financial years, a £0.482m reduction in the costs of the Council.

## Section C

### Key Risks and Mitigations

Risk	Mitigation
A decline in the effectiveness of setting the Council's strategy and key decision making.	Directors to take on the roles previously undertaken by the posts removed.
A reduction in senior management capacity in the Council.	Directors and other senior staff can take on the roles previously undertaken by the posts removed.
N/a	N/a

### Key Development and Delivery Milestones

Milestone	Timeline
Staff and Trades Unions consultation completed	July 2023
Proposals implemented	September 2023
N/a	N/a

## Section D

<b>Consultation required?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
-------------------------------	------------

	<b>Start</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>
<b>Staff</b>	April 2023	July 2023
<b>Trade Union</b>	April 2023	July 2023
<b>Public</b>	TBC	TBC
<b>Service Users</b>	TBC	TBC
<b>Other</b>	TBC	TBC

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

<b>Disabled people</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Particular Ethnic Groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are married or in a civil partnership</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People of particular sexual orientation</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People on low incomes</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People in particular age groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Groups with particular faiths and beliefs</b>	<b>No</b>

<b>EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)</b>	<b>No</b>
---	-----------

## Section E

<b>Finance comments</b>
The budget reduction proposal will generate a total saving of £0.482m from 2024/25 onwards.

<b>Signed RO</b>	31/01/2023
------------------	------------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	31/01/2023
-----------------------	------------

Service Area:	District Partnerships
Budget Reduction Title:	Stopping the District Partnership Service

**Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:**

The proposal is that the Council will stop undertaking this service.

The recorded savings takes into account the Administration's proposal to reduce individual Member's ward budgets by £1,000 (from £5,000 to £4,000) from 2023/24 onwards (a total saving of £0.060m).

**2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment**

	£000
Employees	1,321
Other Operational Expenses	429
Income	(146)
<b>Total</b>	<b>1,604</b>

Current Forecast (under) / overspend

-

Number of posts (Full time equivalent)

-

	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)	(1,088)	(456)	0
Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)	15	0	0

Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?

On-going

## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>	
None	
<b>Service Delivery</b>	
Yes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Removal of all ward councillor budgets</li> <li>• No support to Elected Members in each District including the support on bids to LIF, no Elected Member budgets, case work, advice and guidance as examples</li> <li>• The teams facilitate partnership working at a place-level including supporting Placed Based Integration which supports demand reduction and for example supports the new adult operating model</li> <li>• Removal of the support to wider humanitarian programmes including the cost-of-living and wider health related work</li> <li>• Removal of support to programmes and development of such things as the Clean Streets programme along with other campaigns</li> <li>• Remove support to key events including – Bonfire Night, Remembrance Sunday, Royal celebrations (Jubilee etc.), local community events etc</li> <li>• Remove support to Residents First approach to engagement and the support to wider residents engagement</li> <li>• Reduction in supporting the co-ordination of local partnership meetings and problem solving meetings</li> <li>• No support to the voluntary sector on community activity, resource support, advice and guidance, and partnerships developing community co-production</li> </ul>	
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>	
Reduced service provision as per the above	
<b>Organisation</b>	
Yes – unable to support key events and link to community	
<b>Workforce</b>	
Yes – a reduction in workforce would be a consequence of the approval of this proposal	
<b>Communities and Service Users</b>	
Yes – see above. Services to communities would be reduced	
<b>Oldham Cares</b>	
None	
<b>Other Partner Organisations</b>	
No work to build capacity of communities and community organisations	

Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>Yes</b>

<b>Residents</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Wide range of external partners including the voluntary sector</b>	
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/a</b>	
<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/a</b>	

<b>Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements</b>
Over two financial years, a cumulative £1.544m reduction in costs to the Council.

## Section C

### Key Risks and Mitigations

<b>Risk</b>	<b>Mitigation</b>
Adverse impact of communities due to the ceasing of the services identified above	Emphasis to be placed on increased use of the voluntary sector to deliver such programmes albeit this would also see a reduction in voluntary sector support.
Lack of support for Elected Members	Members to engage directly with service managers and officers
No Elected Member personal budget	Recognition that there is a reduced offer

### Key Development and Delivery Milestones

<b>Milestone</b>	<b>Timeline</b>
Staff and Trades Unions consultation completed	July 2023
Proposals implemented	September 2023

## Section D

<b>Consultation required?</b>	<b>Yes</b>
-------------------------------	------------

	<b>Start</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>
<b>Staff</b>	April 2023	July 2023
<b>Trade Union</b>	April 2023	July 2023
<b>Public</b>	TBC	TBC
<b>Service Users</b>	TBC	TBC
<b>Other</b>	TBC	TBC

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

<b>Disabled people</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Particular Ethnic Groups</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are married or in a civil partnership</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People of particular sexual orientation</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>People on low incomes</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>People in particular age groups</b>	<b>Yes</b>
<b>Groups with particular faiths and beliefs</b>	<b>No</b>

<b>EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)</b>	<b>Not at this point</b>
---	--------------------------

## Section E

<b>Finance comments</b>
The budget reduction proposal will generate an additional saving of £1.544m from 2024/25 onwards. This is in addition to the £0.060m already proposed by the Administration.

<b>Signed RO</b>	31/01/2023
------------------	------------

<b>Signed Finance</b>	31/01/2023
-----------------------	------------

Service Area:	Chief Executive
Budget Reduction Title:	Removal of the Strategic Customer Budget

**Budget Reduction Proposal - Detail and Objectives:**

Expenditure within the Strategic Customer Services budget to cease from 2023/24 onwards.

2022/23 Service Budget and Establishment	£000
Employees	-
Other Operational Expenses	130
Income	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>

Current Forecast (under) / overspend	-
--------------------------------------	---

Number of posts (Full time equivalent)	-
--	---

	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26
Proposed Budget Reduction (£000)	(130)	0	0
Proposed Staffing Reductions (FTE)	0	0	0

Is your proposal a 'one-off' in 2023/24 or is it ongoing?	On-going
---	----------



## Section B

What impact does the proposal have on the following?

<b>Property</b>
None
<b>Service Delivery</b>
Key initiatives as highlighted in the risks section will cease which will have a negative impact on how we engage with our residents and our workforce.
<b>Future expected outcomes</b>
None
<b>Organisation</b>
None
<b>Workforce</b>
As above.
<b>Communities and Service Users</b>
None
<b>Oldham Cares</b>
None
<b>Other Partner Organisations</b>
None

Who are the key stakeholders?

<b>Staff</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Elected Members</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Residents</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Local business community</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Schools</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Trade Unions</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>External partners (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>Other Council departments (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/a</b>	
<b>Other (if yes please specify below)</b>	<b>No</b>
<b>N/a</b>	

**Benefits to the organisation/staff/customers including performance improvements**

A £0.130m reduction in the cost of Council service provision.

**Section C****Key Risks and Mitigations**

Risk	Mitigation
The loss of key initiatives which in the past have: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Supported staff wellbeing through an enhanced wellbeing offer; and</li> <li>- Delivered key projects aligned to the priorities of the Council – i.e. The Big Conversation events, listening and gaining feedback from residents and Oldham Council Working For You.</li> </ul>	Cease initiatives however, this will have an impact on how the Council engages with our residents and staff
N/a	N/a
N/a	N/a

**Key Development and Delivery Milestones**

Milestone	Timeline
Budget amended and expenditure halted	01 April 2023
N/a	N/a
N/a	N/a

## Section D

Consultation required?	No
------------------------	----

	Start	Conclusion
Staff	No	No
Trade Union	No	No
Public	No	No
Service Users	No	No
Other	No	No

### Equality Impact Screening

Is there potential for the proposed budget reduction to have a disproportionate adverse impact on any of the following?

Disabled people	No
Particular Ethnic Groups	No
Men or Women (including impacts due to pregnancy / maternity)	No
People who are married or in a civil partnership	No
People of particular sexual orientation	No
People who are proposing to undergo, undergoing or have undergone a process or part of a process of gender reassignment	No
People on low incomes	No
People in particular age groups	No
Groups with particular faiths and beliefs	No

EIA required? (choose YES if any of the above impacts are YES)	No
--	----

## Section E

<b>Finance comments</b>
The budget reduction proposal will generate a total saving of £0.130m from 2023/24 onwards.

Signed RO	31/01/2023
-----------	------------

Signed Finance	31/01/2023
----------------	------------

This page is intentionally left blank